

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

			_	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/262,126	03/03/1999	BRIAN S. MILLER	GC396-2	8961
5100 GENENCOR I	7590 02/05/2008 NTERNATIONAL INC	03/03/1999 BRIAN S. MILLER  02/05/2008 ERNATIONAL, INC. GAL DEPARTMENT ROAD	EXAMINER	
ATTENTION: LEGAL DEPARTMENT			KOSSON, ROSANNE	
	925 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CA 94304		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			1652	
	•			<del>-</del>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/262,126	MILLER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Rosanne Kosson	1652			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT , cause the application to become ABA	ANTION.  ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De	ecember 2007.				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under E		·			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>5-10,12,14,15,27-40 and 52-73</u> is/are	pending in the application				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>5-10,12,14,15,27-40 and 52-73</u> are su	ubject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
	ion Papers		·			
·	The specification is objected to by the Examine		Abo Evancinos			
10)[]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Ar	pplication No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		·			
	application from the International Bureau		• •			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.			
		·				
			•			
Attachmen		_				
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413) l/Mail Date			
· =	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		formal Patent Application			
· <del></del>	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	• •			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 5-10, 14, 15, 27-40 and 52-73, drawn to a *Bacillus deramificans* pullulanase having deletions of one to about 300 amino acids from the N-terminus, classified in class 435, subclass 210.
- 2. Claim 12, drawn to a pullulanase from *Bacillus deramificans* having an A added to the N-terminus of the mature form, classified in class 435, subclass 210.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions 1 and 2 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are drawn to different mutant polypeptides, each type of mutant being a different modification of a known native sequence. The different polypeptides have different structures, different functions and different effects (different degrees of resistance to naturally occurring proteases). Therefore, these inventions are patentably distinct.

The searches for any one invention (i.e., sequence) are not required for and are not coextensive with the searches for any other invention, thereby creating an undue burden of search and examination. The results from a search of each of these inventions have different considerations with respect to the prior art. Burden lies not only in the search of U.S. patents, but also in the search for literature and foreign patents and in examination of the claim language and specification for compliance with the statutes concerning new matter, distinctness, written description, enablement and double patenting.

09/262,126 Art Unit: 1652

Applicants must choose **ONE** polypeptide from among those claimed as indicated in the different groups above. Each polypeptide and each polynucleotide sequence is a distinct invention requiring separate searches. THESE ARE NOT SPECIES. Each sequence is a chemically, structurally and functionally distinct molecule. Therefore, the each of these polypeptides and each of these polynucleotides is patentably distinct.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should Applicants traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosanne Kosson whose telephone number is 571-272-2923. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-6:00, alternate Mondays off.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nashaat Nashed can be reached on 571-272-0934. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rosanne Kosson Examiner, Art Unit 1652

rk/2008-01-25

Rosame Kossor